of 594 pages to indexes. Some 153 pages are devoted to a Compound Index (a formula index; with much blank space on the pages,) in which, for example, the compound $C_{34}H_{40}Ag_4Au_2F_6N_4O_6S_2$ appears also in its seven permutations, $H_{40}Ag_4Au_2F_6N_4O_6S_2C_{34}$, etc. Since the various accounts are illustrative rather than comprehensive, the chances are not high that the particular compound one wishes to make will appear, and in any case it is better to read the complete account of the available methods to see whether any closely related compound is mentioned. I also cannot envisage a situation in which, with a volume of this type, I would want to use the Author Index (which takes up 56 pages, and is extravagantly set out) – except, of course, to see if any of my own papers are mentioned! (Doing this, I found, that one of them is attributed to a C. Eaburn). In my judgement, it would have been better to omit both the Author Index and Formula Index, and perhaps expand the Subject Index.

As part of the complete series this volume will be a valuable source of information, and should be in all chemical reference libraries.

School of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ (Great Britain) Colin Eaborn

Erratum

Zur Borylierung von Benzol und Naphthalin durch Dehalogenierungsprodukte von Dichlor(diisopropylamino)boran; by A. Meller, D. Bromm, W. Maringgele, D. Böhler und G. Elter (*J. Organomet. Chem.*, 347 (1988) 11–16).

Page 14, Formulae IIIa and IIIb should read: